


AVIATION LIABILITY

Canada



LEXOLOGY

Getting The Deal Through

Consulting editor

Clyde & Co LLP

Aviation Liability

Consulting editors

Andrew J Harakas, Chris Carlsen, Jeffrey Ellis, Kevin Sutherland

Clyde & Co LLP

Quick reference guide enabling side-by-side comparison of local insights, including into applicable treaties; liability for passenger injury or death during international or domestic carriage; third-party actions; liability for ground damage; liability for unruly passengers and terrorist events; liability for harm caused by drones; consumer protection and passenger rights; liability of government entities providing services to carriers; criminal proceedings; effect of carriers' conditions of carriage and tariffs; damages; accident investigation and family assistance; insurance requirements; litigation procedure; judgments and settlements; and recent trends.

Generated 02 December 2021

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. © Copyright 2006 - 2021 Law Business Research

Table of contents

APPLICABLE TREATIES

Major air law treaties

INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE – LIABILITY FOR PASSENGER INJURY OR DEATH

Montreal Convention and Warsaw Convention

Definition of ‘carrier’

Carrier liability condition

No negligence defence

Advance payment for injury or death

Deciding jurisdiction

Period of limitation

Liability of carriage

DOMESTIC CARRIAGE – LIABILITY FOR PASSENGER INJURY OR DEATH

Governing laws

Nature of carrier liability

Liability limits

Main defences

Damages

Rule for apportioning fault

Statute of limitations

THIRD-PARTY ACTIONS

Seeking recovery

Time limits

LIABILITY FOR GROUND DAMAGE

Applicable laws

Nature and conditions of liability

Liability limits

Main defences

LIABILITY FOR UNRULY PASSENGERS AND TERRORIST EVENTS

Applicable laws

Nature and conditions of liability

Liability limits

Main defences

LIABILITY FOR HARM CAUSED BY DRONES

Applicable legislation

CONSUMER PROTECTION AND PASSENGER RIGHTS

Applicable legislation

LIABILITY OF GOVERNMENT ENTITIES PROVIDING SERVICES TO CARRIERS

Relevant laws

Nature and conditions of liability

Liability limits

CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

Responsibility for accidents

Effect of proceedings

Compensation

EFFECT OF CARRIER'S CONDITIONS OF CARRIAGE AND TARIFFS

Liability

DAMAGES

Damage recovery

ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION AND FAMILY ASSISTANCE

Investigatory authority

Disclosure restrictions

Relevant post-accident assistance laws

INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Mandatory requirements

LITIGATION PROCEDURE

Court structure

Allowable discovery

Evidence

Recoverability of fees and costs

JUDGMENTS AND SETTLEMENT

Pre- and post-judgment interest
Settlements

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

Contributors

Canada



Michael A. Dery
mdery@ahbl.ca
Alexander Holburn Beaudin + Lang



Darryl G. Pankratz
dpankratz@ahbl.ca
Alexander Holburn Beaudin + Lang



Shaun Foster
sfoster@ahbl.ca
Alexander Holburn Beaudin + Lang



Nicolas Pimentel
npimentel@ahbl.ca
Alexander Holburn Beaudin + Lang



Scott Ashbourne
sashbourne@ahbl.ca
Alexander Holburn Beaudin + Lang



APPLICABLE TREATIES**Major air law treaties**

To which major air law treaties related to carrier liability for passenger injury or death is your state a party?

Treaty	Effective date	Implementation
Montreal Convention (1999)	4 November 2003	Implemented by Carriage by Air Act (RSC, 1985, c. C-26)
Montreal Protocol No. 1 (1975)	15 February 1996	Ratified
Montreal Protocol No. 2 (1975)	15 February 1996	Ratified
Montreal Protocol No. 3 (1975)	N/A	Not in force
Montreal Protocol No. 4 (1975)	25 November 1999	Implemented by annual statute 1999, volume I, Chapter 21
Guatemala City Protocol (1971)	N/A	Not in force
Tokyo Convention (1963)	5 February 1970	Ratified
Guadalajara Supplementary Convention (1961)	30 November 1999	Implemented by annual statute 1999, volume I, Chapter 21
Hague Protocol (1955)	17 July 1964	Ratified
Rome Convention (1952)	4 February 1958 to 29 December 1976	Denounced on 29 December 1976
Warsaw Convention (1929)	8 September 1947	Implemented by Carriage by Air Act (RSC, 1985, c. C-26)

Law stated - 01 October 2021

INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE – LIABILITY FOR PASSENGER INJURY OR DEATH**Montreal Convention and Warsaw Convention**

Do the courts in your state interpret the similar provisions of the Montreal Convention and the Warsaw Convention in the same way?

Canadian courts have accepted that where there are no significant differences between the language of the Warsaw Convention and the Montreal Convention, the interpretation of the Warsaw Convention is relevant and applicable.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Do the courts in your state consider the Montreal Convention and Warsaw Convention to provide the sole or exclusive basis for air carrier liability for passenger injury or death?

The Supreme Court of Canada has ruled that the Montreal Convention provides the exclusive recourse against airlines for matters falling within the scope of the Montreal Convention. The exclusivity of the liability scheme established under the Montreal Convention extends at least to excluding actions arising from injuries suffered by passengers during flight or embarkation and debarkation when those actions do not otherwise fall within the scheme of permitted

claims.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Definition of 'carrier'

In your state, who is considered to be a 'carrier' under the Montreal and Warsaw Conventions?

There is case law in Canada confirming that Chapter V of the Montreal Convention expands the applicability of the Convention to entities not previously covered by the Warsaw Convention. In particular, articles 39 and 43 have resulted in sellers of vacation packages (which include flights) being found to be 'contracting carriers' whose liability is governed by the Montreal Convention. It has not been interpreted to include ground handlers.

There is limited case law in Canada, but courts have decided not to accept that carriage is 'successive carriage' in cases governed by the Warsaw Convention unless the domestic carrier had prior knowledge that the 'itinerary' included an international segment.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Carrier liability condition

How do the courts in your state interpret the conditions for air carrier liability – 'accident', 'bodily injury', 'in the course of any of the operations of embarking or disembarking' – for passenger injury or death in article 17(1) of the Montreal Convention and article 17 of the Warsaw Convention?

Canadian courts have interpreted the term 'accident' to mean an 'unexpected or unusual event or happening that is external to the passenger' in reference to the ruling of the Supreme Court of the United States in *Air France v Saks*, 470 US 392 (US Cal 1985).

Canadian courts have interpreted the term 'bodily injury' to mean a physical injury and have ruled that the Montreal Convention does not allow compensation for purely psychological injury. Psychological injury caused by a bodily injury is compensable.

To date, one Canadian court decision has recognised as persuasive the 'Day' embarking and disembarking test from the United States (*Day v Trans World Airlines Inc.*, 528 F. 2d 31 (USCA)), but did not apply it. It is anticipated that future decisions will consider this jurisprudence, as well as jurisprudence from other jurisdictions, including the US and the UK.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

No negligence defence

How do the courts in your state interpret and apply the 'no negligence' defence in article 21 of the Montreal Convention, and the 'all reasonable measures' defence in article 20 and the 'wilful misconduct' standard of article 25 of the Warsaw Convention?

There are no Canadian court decisions applying the 'no negligence' defence in article 21 of the Montreal Convention. We anticipate that the courts would consider jurisprudence from other jurisdictions, including the US and the UK. With regard to the language in article 20 of the Warsaw Convention, Canadian courts have required objective proof on a balance of probabilities. With regard to article 25 of the Warsaw Convention, the courts have applied a subjective test to determine whether the carrier acted recklessly and with knowledge that damage would probably result (see

Connaught Laboratories Limited v British Airways , 61 OR (3d) 204, [2002] OJ No. 3421 (ONSC), at paragraph 57).

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Advance payment for injury or death

Does your state require that advance payment be made to injured passengers or the family members of deceased passengers following an aircraft accident?

No.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Deciding jurisdiction

How do the courts of your state interpret each of the jurisdictions set forth in article 33 of the Montreal Convention and article 28 of the Warsaw Convention?

Canadian courts have generally accepted that the domicile of the carrier and its principal place of business is normally the place where the carrier is incorporated. The place where the tickets are purchased has been found to be the place where the contract is made (see *Sakka (Litigation Guardian of) v Air France* 2011 ONSC 1995, paragraph 31). In the two court decisions considering the 'fifth jurisdiction', courts have declined to rule because of a lack of evidence presented to establish a passenger's 'principal and permanent residence'.

Canadian courts recognise the doctrine of forum non conveniens, but have not decided the issue of whether it would be applied to a Montreal or Warsaw action.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Period of limitation

How do the courts of your state interpret and apply the two-year period of limitations in article 35 of the Montreal Convention and article 29 of the Warsaw Convention?

Canadian courts have ruled that the two-year period of limitations is a condition precedent to suit, and therefore absolute (see *Titulescu v United Airlines Inc* , 2014 ONSC 5683).

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Liability of carriage

How do the courts of your state address the liability of carriage performed by a person other than the contracting carrier under the Montreal and Warsaw Conventions?

Canadian courts have accepted that passengers may bring an action against an actual or contracting carrier pursuant to the principles set out in the Warsaw or Montreal Conventions. Courts have applied article 46 of the Montreal Convention in accepting that jurisdiction may be conferred on the domicile or principal place of business of the actual carrier (see *Zoungrana v Air Algérie* , 2016 QCCS 2311).

Law stated - 01 October 2021

DOMESTIC CARRIAGE – LIABILITY FOR PASSENGER INJURY OR DEATH

Governing laws

What laws in your state govern the liability of an air carrier for passenger injury or death occurring during domestic carriage?

Liability of an air carrier for passenger injury or death is governed by the common law and the negligence and fatal accident statutes of each province.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Nature of carrier liability

What is the nature of, and what are the conditions for, an air carrier's liability?

Liability for an air carrier is fault-based. The Supreme Court of Canada has ruled that although the carrier of passengers is not an insurer, there is a heavy burden on the defendant carrier to establish that it had used all due, proper and reasonable care and skill to avoid or prevent injury to the passenger. The care required is of a 'very high degree'.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Liability limits

Is there any limit of a carrier's liability for personal injury or death?

There is a limit of liability for non-pecuniary damages for pain and suffering, which relates to the severity of injuries. Catastrophic injuries not resulting in death have a current ceiling of approximately C\$400,000 and increase incrementally. There is no limit for other heads of damages, such as past and future loss of income, loss of future earning capacity and cost of future care.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Main defences

What are the main defences available to the air carrier?

A carrier may defend against claims on the basis that it was not negligent, that the injury or death was the result of a third party or an intervening act, or was caused by contributory negligence of the claimant or a failure to mitigate. Further, depending upon the jurisdiction within Canada, a passenger may be statutorily barred from making a claim against an operator if the passenger is injured in the course and scope of their employment. Each province and territory has its own workers' compensation legislation that may apply in specific circumstances.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Damages

Is the air carrier's liability for damages joint and several?

Yes.

Rule for apportioning fault

What rule do the courts in your state apply to apportioning fault when the injury or death was caused in whole or in part by the person claiming compensation or the person from whom the right is derived?

Where damage was caused in whole or in part by the person claiming compensation, the claimant is entitled to compensation based on comparative negligence. In apportioning damages, courts are concerned with the relative fault or blameworthiness of the parties involved. A claimant's overall award will be reduced by the amount they are found to be at fault.

However, in an action with multiple defendants, where the claimant is found contributorily negligent, the liability of a single defendant in certain provinces may not be joint and several, resulting in each defendant being found liable only for its 'share' of damages.

To determine whether a child is contributorily liable, courts will consider whether the child exercised the care expected of a reasonable child of their age and experience. Similarly, courts will consider whether a mentally disabled person exercised the care expected of a reasonable person with the same abilities or mental capacity.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Statute of limitations

What is the time within which an action against an air carrier for injury or death must be filed?

The limitation period for an action against an air carrier can vary depending on the limitation of actions statute or other relevant provincial statutes. In most jurisdictions, a claim must be brought within two years of the date that the cause of action arose, or the date of discovery. Most limitation periods for actions were suspended in March 2020 for varying lengths of time because of the covid-19 pandemic. Limitation periods are subject to an ultimate limitation period after the day on which the act or omission took place. The ultimate limitation period varies from 10 years in Alberta, to 15 years in British Columbia and Ontario, to 30 years in Manitoba. The limitation period does not run during the time in which the claimant is a minor or incapable of commencing a proceeding by reason of physical or mental condition.

Service and filing requirements differ between provinces and between different levels of court. An action is typically commenced once a notice of claim is filed with the court registry.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

THIRD-PARTY ACTIONS**Seeking recovery**

What are the applicable procedures to seek recovery from another party for contribution or indemnity?

The procedures for seeking recovery from a third party for contribution and indemnity differ between provinces according to the rules and legislation of the court in which the proceedings are commenced.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Time limits

What time limits apply?

The limitation for bringing a third-party claim in Canada may vary based on the limitation of action statute of the province in which an action is brought. In many provinces, a claim for contribution or indemnity must be brought shortly after being served with the notice of claim or the filing of a statement of defence. If a party misses the deadline, they must obtain leave of the court to commence a third-party claim.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

LIABILITY FOR GROUND DAMAGE

Applicable laws

What laws apply to the liability of the air carrier for injury or damage caused to persons on the ground by an aircraft accident?

There are no specific rules governing the liability of air carriers for ground damage. As a result, the law that applies is the common law of the province in which the damage occurred, or the civil law of Quebec. If an aircraft accident occurs on airport property, liability may be affected by the terms of any contract with the airport authority governing the carrier's operations at the airport.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Nature and conditions of liability

What is the nature of, and what are the conditions for, an air carrier's liability for ground damage?

An air carrier's liability for ground damage is fault-based.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Liability limits

Is there any limit of carriers' liability for ground damage?

No.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Main defences

What are the main defences available to the air carrier in a claim for damage caused on the ground?

In the event of damage caused on the ground, an air carrier may defend against claims on the basis that it was not negligent, that the damages were caused by a third party or that the claimant failed to mitigate their losses.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

LIABILITY FOR UNRULY PASSENGERS AND TERRORIST EVENTS

Applicable laws

What laws apply to the liability of the air carrier for injury or death caused by an unruly passenger or a terrorist event?

If the injury or death occurs in the course of international carriage, the terms of the Montreal Convention will apply. Depending on the circumstances, the definition of 'accident' under the Montreal Convention could include injury caused by an unruly passenger or a terrorist event and the carrier could be liable under the Montreal Convention.

If the injury occurs in the course of domestic carriage, claims will be resolved in accordance with the common law of the province in which the claim is brought, or the civil law of Quebec.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Nature and conditions of liability

What is the nature of, and what are the conditions for, an air carrier's liability for injury or death caused by an unruly passenger or a terrorist event?

If the injury or death caused by an unruly passenger or terrorist event occurs in the course of 'international carriage', the liability provisions of the Montreal Convention will apply to impose a combination of strict and fault-based liability on the carrier. If the injury caused by an unruly passenger or a terrorist event occurs in the course of domestic carriage, the carrier's liability will be fault-based.

The Canadian Aviation Regulations provide that no operator of an aircraft should provide or serve any intoxicating liquor to a person on board the aircraft where there are reasonable grounds to believe that the person's faculties are impaired by alcohol or a drug to an extent that may present a hazard to others. Further, no operator should allow a person to board the aircraft where there are reasonable grounds to believe that the person's faculties are impaired by alcohol or a drug to an extent that may present a hazard to the aircraft or to persons on board the aircraft. To the extent a passenger's unruly behaviour is alcohol- or drug-related and results in death or injury, a court may consider whether this was a foreseeable hazard.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Liability limits

Is there any limit of liability for injury or death caused by an unruly passenger or a terrorist event?

In the event that bodily injury or death caused by an unruly passenger or terrorist event occurred during international carriage and the definition of an 'accident' under the Montreal Convention was met, the air carrier would be strictly liable for up to 128,821 special drawing rights. Beyond this, the limitation of liability will only apply if the carrier can prove that the damage was not because of its own negligence or wrongful act or omission, or if the damage was solely owing to negligence or the wrongful act or omission of a third party. If the carrier is found to have been negligent, there is no limit of liability, subject to Canada's cap on non-pecuniary damages (currently around C\$400,000).

If the injury occurred during domestic carriage, there is no limit of liability for the carrier (again, subject to the cap on non-pecuniary damages).

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Main defences

What are the main defences available to the air carrier in a claim for injury or death caused by an unruly passenger or a terrorist event?

If the claim results from international carriage, the main defences available for a carrier are that the unruly passenger incident or terrorist event was not an 'accident' within the definition of the Montreal Convention or that no 'bodily injury' occurred and any injury suffered was purely mental or emotional. The carrier may also argue it was not negligent to take advantage of the limitation of liability.

If the claim results from domestic carriage, an air carrier may argue that it was not negligent; that the damage was caused solely by a third party or intervening act; or that the claimant was contributorily negligent or failed to mitigate their losses.

Regardless of whether the claim results from domestic or international carriage, a carrier could initiate an action against the unruly passenger for contribution and indemnity.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

LIABILITY FOR HARM CAUSED BY DRONES

Applicable legislation

Summarise the laws or regulations related to the liability for injuries or damage caused by drones.

There are no specific rules governing liability, but the Canadian Aviation Regulations prohibit the operation of a remotely piloted aircraft system (RPAS) in a reckless or negligent manner that endangers or is likely to endanger aviation safety or the safety of any person. RPAS pilots must immediately cease operations if aviation safety or the safety of any person is endangered or likely to be endangered. The regulations require pilots to always give way to power-driven heavier-than-air aircraft, airships, gliders and balloons, and prohibits them from operating an RPAS in such proximity to another aircraft as to create a risk of collision. Pilots operating an RPAS over 250 grams must have a valid pilot certificate, although there are no requirements for obtaining liability insurance.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

CONSUMER PROTECTION AND PASSENGER RIGHTS

Applicable legislation

Summarise aviation-related consumer-protection laws or regulations related to passengers with reduced mobility, flight delays and overbooking, tarmac delay and other relevant areas.

Air passenger rights in these areas are governed by a combination of international conventions, the federal Air Transportation Regulations (ATRs), the Air Passenger Protection Regulations (APPRs) and the Accessible Transportation for Persons with Disabilities Regulations (ATPDRs)

The APPRs impose statutory obligations on carriers with respect to communication with passengers, delayed or cancelled flights, denied boarding, tarmac delays, seating of minors, lost and damaged baggage, and transportation of musical instruments. The APPRs apply to both foreign and domestic carriers and to all flights to, from and within Canada, including connecting flights.

The APPRs impose obligations on carriers and compensation payable (up to C\$2,400) to passengers with respect to

denied boarding situations. The APPRs also impose obligations on carriers in tarmac delay situations and stipulate specific lengths of time after which carriers will be required to permit passengers to disembark from the aircraft. Airlines also must provide compensation for lost or damaged baggage of up to C\$2,100 and a refund of baggage fees.

Commencing 15 December 2019, where flights are cancelled or delayed, standards of treatment (including food and drink and access to communication) must be met by the carrier and compensation up to C\$1,000 may be payable in situations where the cancellation or delay was within the carrier's control. Certain alternate arrangements for travel must be provided by the carrier depending on whether the delay or cancellation was within the carrier's control, was within the carrier's control but required for safety purposes or was caused by situations outside the carrier's control.

The amount of compensation payable under the APPRs is higher for carriers defined as 'large', meaning that they have transported at least two million passengers in each of the two preceding years, than for 'small' carriers (who have transported less than two million passengers in each of the two preceding two years).

The ATRs require carriers to accept, free of charge, mobility aids for carriage. If the carrier damages or loses a mobility aid, it is required to immediately provide a suitable temporary replacement, and to arrange for the prompt and adequate repair or replacement of the damaged aid.

The ATPDRs impose obligations on carriers related to services offered and accessible communication for persons with disabilities, training for employees, acceptance of service dogs, and aircraft and terminal specifications and technical requirements. The ATPDRs also require carriers to provide a passenger with an additional seat free of charge if the passenger requires two seats because of a disability (including obesity), or because they require an attendant to accompany them during air travel. This applies only to domestic flights.

The ATPDRs that relate to service requirements apply to both Canadian and international carriers, while communications, training and technical requirements apply to Canadian carriers only.

The requirements set out above currently only apply to those carriers defined as 'large', meaning that they have transported at least one million passengers in each of the two preceding years.

The Canadian Transportation Agency is an independent government agency and quasi-judicial tribunal responsible for overseeing passenger rights in respect of air travel and handles air travel disputes and complaints related to the APPRs, the ATRs and the ATPDRs.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

LIABILITY OF GOVERNMENT ENTITIES PROVIDING SERVICES TO CARRIERS

Relevant laws

What laws apply to the liability of the government entities that provide services to the air carrier?

In Canada, entities such as air traffic control, many airport authorities and the agency responsible for airport security have been privatised and are independent of the government. For the purposes of establishing the civil liability of these entities, ordinary private law rules apply. Except in the province of Quebec (which is a civil law jurisdiction), the common law framework for negligence applies. The claimant must establish that the entity owes a duty of care; that there has been a breach of the standard of care applicable in the circumstances; that it is more likely than not that the acts or omissions of the entity caused the claimant's injury or loss; and that damages were suffered.

A claim based solely on the breach of a statute or regulation is not a recognised private law cause of action in Canada. However, regulatory requirements will inform the determination of the standard of care in a negligence action.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Nature and conditions of liability

What is the nature of, and what are the conditions for, the government's liability?

As with non-government entities, liability of the government in aviation matters is fault-based. For a private law action (such as negligence), the legal tests that apply to claims against non-government entities also apply to claims against the government. The same procedure is followed, which begins with the filing of a notice of claim.

The government may also be found liable for misfeasance in public office. However, this legal test is a higher standard than in negligence law: the government actor or actors must have acted unlawfully, and must have known that they were acting unlawfully, or have been reckless or wilfully blind to the unlawfulness of their actions.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Liability limits

Are there any limitations to seeking recovery from the government entity?

There are no legislative immunities in favour of the Canadian government that are applicable to aviation. However, other than in circumstances where there is a well-established body of case law (eg, government obligation regarding road maintenance), it is difficult to establish a proximity sufficient to find that the government owes a duty of care to a private person.

There have been no decisions in which a court has concluded, under the present legal test, that the government owes a duty of care to an air carrier or passenger. In the only case in which this issue was fully considered, the court found that proximity was not present where the carrier's air operating certificate was unlawfully suspended by the civil aviation regulator, Transport Canada, immediately following an accident: *Gill v Canada*, 2014 BCSC 582 (affirmed on appeal, 2015 BCCA 344) (see also *British Columbia (Workers' Compensation Board) v Flanagan Enterprises (Nevada) Inc*, 2017 BCSC 99). Given that the primary purpose of the statutory scheme was to ensure safe air travel, the court found that the government could not be required to consider the economic interests of the carrier in determining whether to suspend an operating certificate.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

Responsibility for accidents

Can an air carrier be criminally responsible for an aviation accident?

Yes. The Criminal Code of Canada includes specific offences involving aviation (eg, dangerous operation of an aircraft), as well as general offences that could capture conduct that has caused an aviation accident (eg, criminal negligence causing death).

Criminal charges or prosecutions in the aviation context are extremely rare. These cases have typically involved egregious negligence or reckless conduct.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Effect of proceedings

What is the effect of criminal proceedings against the air carrier on a civil action by the passenger or their representatives?

In most cases, a criminal conviction or finding of guilt against an air carrier would be proof that the carrier committed the constituent actions of the offence for the purpose of civil proceedings brought against it in respect of the same incident.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Compensation

Can claims for compensation by passengers or their representatives be made against the air carrier through the criminal proceedings?

While the impact on victims of a crime may be considered for the purposes of sentencing, victims have no standing to make claims in a criminal proceeding. However, a court can make a restitution order compelling the offender to pay a victim for financial losses that occurred because of the offender's crime.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

EFFECT OF CARRIER'S CONDITIONS OF CARRIAGE AND TARIFFS

Liability

What is the legal effect of a carrier's conditions of carriage or tariffs on the carrier's liability?

Under the law of contract in Canada, the carrier's tariff will generally be binding on a passenger if they are given notice of the terms and conditions at the time the contract is formed. With respect to exclusions or limitation of liability clauses, courts may construe these against the party that drafted the contract, and these terms must be brought to the attention of the other party, or they may not be binding. The Canada Transportation Act, SC 1996, c. 10, mandates that a commercial air carrier make their tariffs available for public inspection and publish the terms and conditions of carriage online.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

DAMAGES

Damage recovery

What damages are recoverable for the personal injury of a passenger?

In claims involving international carriage, the provisions of the Montreal Convention govern, provided that the passenger suffered a 'bodily injury' as a result of an 'accident'.

In claims involving domestic travel, passengers are generally entitled to general (non-pecuniary) damages, income loss (past and future), cost of future care, loss of housekeeping capacity, special damages, and pre- and post-judgment interest. General damages for pain and suffering may not exceed approximately C\$400,000 (this amount increases with inflation).

Punitive damages may be recoverable in actions involving domestic carriage, provided that the plaintiff can

demonstrate oppressive or high-handed conduct on the part of the defendant that is deserving of rebuke.

An injured party has standing to claim. In most provinces, close relatives may claim (either in their own names or through the plaintiff, in-trust for the relative) for the cost of housekeeping services that they provided to the injured person as a result of the injuries. Where a plaintiff is a minor or otherwise suffers from a disability, a litigation guardian must be appointed to act on the plaintiff's behalf with respect to the claim.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

What damages are recoverable for the death of a passenger?

In virtually all jurisdictions across Canada, the decedent's dependents may seek damages for financial losses, including loss of financial support that would have been provided by the deceased, loss of (or accelerated) inheritance, funeral expenses and other pecuniary expenses incurred as a result of the death. In most provinces, a dependant may also maintain an action for general damages suffered for grief, loss of companionship, and loss of care and guidance. The various provinces have enacted legislation that stipulates the types of damages that may be awarded in wrongful death actions. In some provinces, the legislation prescribes amounts that may be awarded to different classes of dependants. Punitive damages are not recoverable.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION AND FAMILY ASSISTANCE

Investigatory authority

Who is responsible in your state for investigating aviation accidents?

The Transportation Safety Board of Canada is responsible for investigating all aviation accidents, pursuant to the Canadian Transportation Accident Investigation and Safety Board Act.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Disclosure restrictions

Set forth any restrictions on the disclosure and use of accident reports, flight data recorder information or cockpit voice recordings in litigation.

Under the Canadian Transportation Accident Investigation and Safety Board Act, on-board recordings and statements provided to the Transportation Safety Board during the course of an investigation are privileged and are not to be used in litigation except in certain circumstances. Canadian courts have held that privilege can be overcome if the public interest in the administration of justice outweighs the importance of maintaining confidentiality and privilege. In several recent decisions, these recordings and proceedings have been ordered producible in litigation but with restrictions on use and publication. Communications with air traffic control may not be used against someone in legal proceedings. Accident reports are not admissible as evidence in trial. Except for coroner's investigations, investigators are not compellable or competent to appear as witnesses unless the court orders for special cause.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Relevant post-accident assistance laws

Does your state have any laws or regulations addressing the provision of assistance to passengers and their family after an aviation accident?

Under the Commercial Air Service Standards, which outline the requirements for compliance with parts of the Canadian Aviation Regulations, an air carrier is required to have an emergency safety plan that includes casualty and next-of-kin coordination. There are no other laws or regulations addressing the provision of assistance to passengers or family members after an aviation accident.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Mandatory requirements

Are there mandatory insurance requirements for air carriers?

Insurance coverage is mandatory in Canada for commercial air service operators. Under the current Air Transportation Regulations, air carriers operating a domestic or international service currently must have liability insurance covering risks of injury or death to passengers in the amount of C\$595,000 multiplied by the number of passenger seats on board the aircraft.

The air carrier must also have public liability insurance depending upon aircraft weight. Liability limits must be no less than C\$1,985,000 million in coverage for aircraft less than 7,500 pounds; C\$3,970,000 million for aircraft between 7,500 and 18,000 pounds; or C\$3,970,000 million plus C\$298 multiplied by the number of pounds by which the aircraft exceeds 18,000 pounds. Air carriers must also file a valid certificate of insurance with the Canadian Transportation Agency on an annual basis.

All of these liability limits will be adjusted every five years by way of a formula contained in the Regulations to account for inflation.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

LITIGATION PROCEDURE

Court structure

Provide a brief overview of the court structure as it relates to civil aviation liability claims and appeals.

No particular court has been designated in Canada for aviation matters. In each province, the Superior Court of the province is the court of inherent jurisdiction. Each province also has a provincial small claims court where certain claims under a monetary limit may be brought. These monetary limitations vary from C\$10,000 to C\$50,000.

Decisions from a provincial small claims court are appealed to the Provincial Superior Court. An appeal lies from a final decision of a Provincial Superior Court to the Provincial Court of Appeal. A further appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada may only proceed if leave to appeal is granted. Generally, matters heard by the Supreme Court of Canada are only those that raise an issue of public importance.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Allowable discovery

What is the nature and extent of allowable discovery/disclosure?

In small claims actions, there are minimal pretrial discovery and disclosure procedures.

In actions before a Provincial Superior Court, parties are required to list and produce for inspection any document that is relevant or material to the action. The scope and timing of disclosure varies between provinces, but parties may apply to the court for further disclosure or to set timelines in cases where a party is dilatory with respect to its disclosure obligations. Parties are also entitled to conduct an examination under oath of each other party to the litigation.

In actions involving companies, a corporate representative with the most knowledge of the facts in issue is selected to provide evidence on behalf of the corporation. The purpose of these examinations is to assist the parties in narrowing the issues for trial and to commit the opposing parties to their evidence. Similarly to document production, the rules regarding the scope and procedure for these examinations vary between provinces (eg, in certain provinces, a party is entitled to conduct examinations of more than one representative of a corporate party). The length of time allowed to conduct oral examinations of a witness may also vary depending upon jurisdiction.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Evidence

Does the law of your state provide for any rules regarding preservation and spoliation of evidence?

The common law doctrine of spoliation exists in all provinces, but its application varies. In general, in situations where evidence is destroyed by accident, spoliation does not arise. To establish spoliation, a party must prove on a balance of probabilities that:

- the evidence has been destroyed;
- the evidence was relevant to an issue in the lawsuit;
- legal proceedings were pending (ongoing or contemplated); and
- the destruction of the evidence was intentional with the purpose of affecting the outcome of the litigation or suppressing the truth.

When spoliation is established, the court draws an adverse inference that the evidence would have been unfavourable to the party that destroyed it.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Recoverability of fees and costs

Are attorneys' fees and litigation costs recoverable?

A successful party may recover 'costs' from the unsuccessful party. These are intended to cover a portion of the expenses incurred for items such as lawyers' fees, expert fees and disbursements, among other things. The court has a broad discretion with respect to the award of costs, but these awards do not usually provide full indemnity to the successful party.

JUDGMENTS AND SETTLEMENT

Pre- and post-judgment interest

Does your state impose pre-judgment or post-judgment interest? What is the rate and how is it calculated?

An amount of prejudgment and post-judgment interest payable will be determined by provincial legislation. The amount and calculations vary from province to province.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Settlements

Is court approval required for settlements?

Court approval or approval of a public trustee is required where the plaintiff is a minor or has a disability. In class action lawsuits, court approval is required for any settlement.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

What is the effect of a settlement on the right to seek contribution or indemnity from another person or entity? Can it still be pursued?

Provided that a settlement is agreed to without an admission of liability, the settling defendant may still be able to pursue another party for contribution or indemnity if the relevant limitation period for bringing such a claim has not expired.

Upon receipt of a claim and to preserve the applicable limitation period, it is common practice for defendants in an action to initiate a third-party proceeding against other potential wrongdoers. Any claim cannot exceed the amount paid out in settlement and is still subject to proving both liability and damages. A settling defendant may also settle with the plaintiff using a 'Pierringer' agreement, in which the plaintiff continues his or her action against the non-settling defendants and waives his or her right to claim for or recover any portion of damages that may be attributable to the fault of the settling defendant. The non-settling defendant would only be liable for its several liability.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Are there any financial sanctions, laws or regulations in your state that must be considered before an air carrier or its insurer may pay a judgment or settlement?

In most provinces, the provincial Ministry of Health maintains a subrogated right to recover any healthcare costs that it incurs as a result of a tortious act of a defendant. In most provinces, provincial legislation provides that the provincial Ministry of Health's approval is required for any settlement agreement or release to be binding. The timing and procedure for reporting claims to the relevant provincial Ministry of Health varies from province to province.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

What were the key cases, decisions, judgments and policy and legislative developments of the past year?

The covid-19 pandemic has affected almost every facet of air carriers' operations, and it is clear that the severe impact on air carriers will be felt for many years to come.

In our 2021 update, we discussed the commencement of several proposed national class action lawsuits against major Canadian airlines because of flight cancellations resulting from covid-19 measures. In these proposed lawsuits, passengers are seeking a refund of monies paid to book flights that were subsequently cancelled (most airlines offered refunds in the form of flight credits only). None of the lawsuits have been certified to date, and one was dismissed because of a lack of jurisdiction of the Federal Court of Canada (*Donaldson v Swoop Inc* , 2020 FC 1089).

Also because of the covid-19 pandemic and the issues leading to the class action lawsuits, the Canadian Transportation Agency was ordered to draft regulations requiring refunds when flights are cancelled for reasons outside of the control of the air carrier. These draft regulations are currently in the consultation phase.

By way of a series of interim orders, Transport Canada requires carriers to conduct health check interviews of all passengers and to verify that passengers have a negative covid-19 test prior to boarding any flights to Canada. Carriers must also ensure that passengers over the age of two wear masks during flights.

Law stated - 01 October 2021

Jurisdictions

	Austria	Benn-Ibler Rechtsanwälte GmbH
	Brazil	ASBZ Advogados
	Canada	Alexander Holburn Beaudin + Lang
	France	Kennedys Law LLP
	Germany	Urwantschky Dangel Borst
	Italy	RP Legal & Tax
	Japan	TMI Associates
	Latvia	SUCCESS410.COM Specialized Advisory Services
	Malaysia	Saranjit Singh, Advocates & Solicitors
	Netherlands	Van Traa Advocaten
	New Zealand	Hesketh Henry
	Nigeria	Streamsowers & Köhn
	Switzerland	bellpark legal ag
	United Kingdom	Stephenson Harwood LLP
	USA	Clyde & Co LLP